

<p>Claim 2: Students can produce effective and well-grounded writing for a range of purposes and audiences.</p>	
<p>Target 6a: WRITE BRIEF TEXTS: Apply a variety of strategies when writing one or more paragraphs of text that express arguments about topics or sources: establishing and supporting a claim, organizing and citing supporting evidence using credible sources, providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, appropriate vocabulary, or providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) presented.</p>	
<p>Target 6b. REVISE BRIEF TEXTS: Apply a variety of strategies when revising one or more paragraphs of text that express arguments about topics or sources: establishing and supporting a claim, organizing and citing supporting evidence using credible sources, providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, appropriate vocabulary, or providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) presented.</p>	
<p>Clarifications</p>	<p>Target 6a</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Items for this target must have a setup that establishes audience, purpose (argument), and context/task. • No item stems should promote formulaic writing. • Style should be appropriate for audience, purpose, and task. • Organization items focus on the student’s ability to compose a brief text (one to three paragraphs) by providing a claim (and counterclaim), transitions to connect reasons to claims, and supporting evidence and conclusions appropriate for an argument. • Elaboration/evidence items focus on the student’s ability to compose a brief text (one to three paragraphs) for a specific purpose by providing supporting evidence to support a claim that is appropriate for an argumentative text. • Evidence includes data (survey or other), and/or research information (“experts say,” “studies show,” etc.). Personal examples and anecdotal information may be included, but cannot substitute for authoritative evidence. • Note: Beginning in grade 7, argumentative items acknowledge alternate or opposing claims. • Student Notes will be provided. They should be boxed. Notes should be crafted as authentic notes (e.g., bulleted, listed or otherwise formatted to discourage wholesale copying. They should not be complete sentences). A heading should be provided with the statement: <i>The student has taken the following notes from a credible source:</i> <p>Target 6b</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note: This target asks students to revise, <i>not</i> edit, which is Target 9. • Note: Items for this target focus on revision at the sentence or paragraph level, except for transitional words and phrases. Items asking for students to replace or add words/phrases are Target 8. • No item stems should promote formulaic writing. • Style should be appropriate for audience, purpose, and task and consistent with the pre-established writing style in the stem. • Note: Beginning in grade 7, argumentative items acknowledge alternate or opposing claims. • Note: The stem will direct the student to select a revision to the stimulus that improves some underlined or otherwise specified aspect of the text’s evidence/elaboration or organization. Items for this target must have a setup that

English Language Arts Specification: Grade 7 Claim 2 Targets 6a and 6b

	<p>establishes audience, purpose (argument), and context/task.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organization items focus on the student’s ability to revise a brief text by providing claim and supporting reasons, transitions to connect reasons to claim, and conclusions appropriate for an argument. • Elaboration/evidence items focus on the student’s ability to revise a brief text by identifying appropriate evidence to support claims/counterclaims. • Evidence includes data (survey or other), and/or research information (“experts say,” “studies show,” etc.). Personal examples and anecdotal information may be included, but cannot substitute for authoritative evidence.
<p>Standards</p>	<p>Target 6a <u>W-1a. Introduce claim(s), acknowledge alternate or opposing claims, and organize the reasons and evidence logically.</u> <u>W-1b. Support claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant evidence, using accurate, credible sources and demonstrating an understanding of the topic or text.</u> <u>W-1c. Use words, phrases, and clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence.</u> <u>W-1d. Establish and maintain a formal style.</u> <u>W-1e. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.</u> <u>W-8 Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation.</u> <u>W-9 Draw evidence from literary or informational texts to support analysis, reflection, and research.</u></p> <p>Target 6b <u>W-1a. Introduce claim(s), acknowledge alternate or opposing claims, and organize the reasons and evidence logically.</u> <u>W-1b. Support claim(s) with logical reasoning and relevant evidence, using accurate, credible sources, and demonstrating an understanding of the topic or text.</u> <u>W-1c. Use words, phrases, and clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among claim(s), reasons, and evidence.</u> <u>W-1d. Establish and maintain a formal style.</u> <u>W-1e. Provide a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented.</u></p>
<p>Depth of Knowledge</p>	<p>Target 6a DOK 3 Target 6b DOK 2</p>
<p>Stimuli/Passages</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stimuli for this target will be brief argumentative texts (one to three paragraphs, ranging between 200 and 250 words, excluding student notes, which should range between 70 and 80 words in length). • Note: This is an argumentative target, not persuasive. The stimuli should be decidedly argumentative, having two clear and debatable sides or positions. Appeal to emotion is inappropriate in argument.

<p>Stimuli/Text Complexity</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The stimulus must be appropriately complex for the skill being assessed. The stimulus must be consistent with the purpose and intent of the target and standard being measured. • The stimulus will read as would authentic student writing for the targeted grade. That is, the content, language/vocabulary, and sentence structure of each stimulus should be similar to what students would write at the tested grade level. (Note: Do not lower the readability of a stimulus simply by converting complex or compound sentences into simple sentences. This usually results in choppy, less cohesive writing.) • The stimulus should be a model of good writing. It should NOT promote formulaic writing (e.g., topic sentence, three development sentences, and a concluding sentence that restates/repeats, or summarizes the topic sentence). When stimulus includes an introduction, it should avoid obvious preview of [3] supports; when stimulus provides a concluding statement/paragraph, that conclusion must do more than summarize information presented (see standards: emphasize the importance of claim, reflect on the experience, explain the significance of, etc.) • The stimulus should be written as if it were part of a larger piece of writing. • For organization items, when asking for introductions or conclusions, be sure that the stimulus clearly lacks an effective introduction or conclusion. • Stimuli should reflect a variety of argument forms such as essay, editorial (not letter to editor), etc. • For 6a items, stimulus will provide, in addition to the student's draft, some source of information such as student notes, a chart, a bulleted list, or a similar fictitious, but factually accurate, source. <p>Guidelines for Student Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The purpose of the notes is to provide details and evidence that students can use in the development and elaboration of their responses. • While the notes may have some overlap with the information in the stimulus, they should primarily consist of information that is in addition to the stimulus. • Notes should be presented in an authentic a manner as possible and should not lend themselves to being listed or copied and pasted directly into the student responses. To that end, notes should NOT be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ written as full sentences. (They must be sparse enough so that students must elaborate by using their own words.) ○ presented in any particular order. ○ grammatically parallel. • Notes should be boxed so as to clearly distinguish them from the stimulus. A heading preceding the notes should read: <i>The student has taken the following notes from a credible source:</i> • Students should not be expected to include all notes in their responses. • Because the claim 2 CAT items assess for specific traits (organization and elaboration) only, there is no need to cite sources (they are expected to cite sources in the Performance Task writing). Students can also assume the notes have already been paraphrased. • There should not be an overwhelming number of notes; please refer to the recommended word counts for the student
---------------------------------------	---

English Language Arts Specification: Grade 7 Claim 2 Targets 6a and 6b

- notes at the various grade levels, as noted in the item specifications.
- Students will need to select the appropriate details/evidence to include. While not being purposely irrelevant or misleading, not all notes will necessarily serve the student’s purpose for the task. For example, while an overall stimulus written for older students might be about both a given problem (e.g., invasive species) and its solution, the task might call for the student to address only a solution. Therefore, not all the notes will be applicable to the task.
 - Guidelines for notes that provide evidence:
 - Avoid giving reasons as notes (which the students can just copy into their responses).
 - Examples of types of notes that may be provided include:
 - survey results (At the elementary level, this might include surveys of peers or family. At the middle and high school levels, more formal surveys, e.g., Pew reports, may be used.)
 - expert testimony (At the elementary level, this might include: parents, teachers, the principal, the mayor, the newspaper, etc. Examples for middle and high school: discipline-specific experts, government officials, etc.)
 - citations/information from credible publications
 - statistics

Sample grade 7 informational item with student notes:

A student is writing an article for class website about ways to model good health. Read the introduction and the first sentence of the next paragraph of the draft. Then complete the task that follows.

Although people often take their health for granted, living a healthy life is one of the most important things a person can do. This often means making the right choices regarding diet and exercise. These choices can help determine how much energy a person has and can even affect an individual’s lifespan.

What elements contribute to maintaining good health?

The student has taken the following notes from a credible source:

NOTES	
<p>Exercise –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • medical study: “exercise most important factor in weight control” • doesn’t have to be formal club/team/exercise regime • minimum of 30 minutes day <p>- elimination—sugary or fried foods - fruits, vegetables, chicken, fish (80% of diet – food pyramid)</p>	<p>- The notes provide plenty of ideas on both diet and exercise, on which the writer can develop and elaborate</p> <p>- As would be true of authentic notes, they are not neatly packaged for cut-and-paste, not complete sentences, and not grammatically parallel</p> <p>- The notes provide some evidence in the form of facts and statistics that are well within a 7th grader’s experience (school nurse and doctors as experts, accessible</p>

English Language Arts Specification: Grade 7 Claim 2 Targets 6a and 6b

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - physical activity during downtime, not just games/cards - Pre-teens/teens = 8 hrs sleep per day - fat no more than 30% ALL calories (MDs recommend) 	<p><i>information from the food pyramid, etc.).</i></p>
<p>Accessibility Concerns</p>	<p>Choose relevant facts and details from the student’s notes to develop a paragraph beginning with the underlined sentence.</p> <p>Students will be required to read brief argumentative texts (one to three paragraphs) and write one or more paragraphs. Students with physical impairments may need to use an adapted mouse or a computer with eye-scanning capabilities. Students who are visually impaired or blind may need to have visual media described to them. Other formats or supports may be necessary for students with other disabilities. Speech-to-text may be an appropriate accommodation for students who have difficulty writing. The accommodations listed here are suggestions and could be altered depending on what accommodations will be allowable.</p>	
<p>Evidence Required</p>	<p>Target 6a Write Brief Texts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Organization) The student will use information provided in a stimulus to organize arguments by <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. providing an opening that establishes a clear claim and context for argument b. organizing reasons and evidence to support claims, building a logical argument c. providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, clarifying relationships between and among claims and reasons d. using appropriate vocabulary for argument e. providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) 2. (Elaboration) The student will select from information provided in order to develop or elaborate on a designated part of an argumentative text by <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. referencing and/or integrating relevant reasons supported by credible evidence (from notes provided) b. acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints c. establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose) <p>Target 6b Revise Brief Texts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Organization) The student will revise arguments by identifying improved organizational elements such as <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. providing an opening that establishes a clear claim and context for the argument b. organizing reasons and evidence to support the claim, building a logical argument* c. providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, clarifying relationships between and among claim, reasons, and evidence d. providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) 2. (Elaboration) The student will revise arguments by identifying the best use of elaboration techniques such as 	

English Language Arts Specification: Grade 7 Claim 2 Targets 6a and 6b

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. referencing and/or integrating relevant and credible evidence to support claims b. acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints c. establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose d. deleting details that do not support the claim* <p>*Note: Items aligned to organization present reasons and evidence that are out of order, NOT details that do not belong. Elaboration items address details that do not belong.</p>
<p>Allowable Item Types</p>	<p>Target 3a: Written Response</p> <p>Target 3b: Multiple Choice, single correct response; Multiple Choice; multiple correct response; Hot Text, select text; Select Text, reorder text.</p>

<p>Note: Text included in brackets [] in the following task model item stems indicates possible alternative wording. When constructing questions, be sure to select only one wording option; do not include the additional options in brackets.</p>	
<p>Task Models</p>	
<p>Task Model 1 Target 6a Write Brief Texts Item Type: Written Response DOK 3</p>	<p>Note: Items for this target must have a setup that states audience, purpose (argument), and context/task.</p> <p>Stimulus: Text should be at grade level and content-appropriate, as if written by a student. Text should be a model of good writing. Text will be information that the student will use in composing a response. Complexity may be low-to-high within a grade level. Text should reflect a variety of argumentative forms (essay, editorials, etc.). Note: This is an argumentative target, not persuasive. The stimuli should be decidedly argumentative, having two clear and debatable sides or positions.</p> <p>Refer to the Stimuli/Text Complexity section for more specific information regarding development of stimuli and student notes.</p> <p>Forms/audiences should be familiar to students or explained in context (e.g., science fair, a contest where science projects are displayed and judged). Stimulus should range between 200 and 250 words, excluding student notes, which should range between 70 and 80 words in length.</p> <p>Student notes should</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. be boxed. b. be crafted as authentic notes (e.g., bulleted, listed or otherwise formatted to discourage wholesale copying. c. not be complete sentences; d. not be grammatically parallel. <p>A heading preceding the notes should read: <i>The student has taken the following notes from a trustworthy source:</i> [Note: it is assumed student has paraphrased from source(s); furthermore, attribution of sources is not expected for CAT items] Refer to Stimuli/Text Complexity section for more specific information regarding development of stimuli and student notes.</p> <p>Task Description: The stem will direct the student to develop one to three argumentative paragraphs using the information provided in the stimulus. The stem will explain how the stimulus information is to be used. Students will be directed to use their own words, use quotations, paraphrase and/or reference sources [student notes] as appropriate.</p> <p>Target Evidence Statements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Organization) The student will use information provided in a stimulus to organize arguments by <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. providing an opening that establishes a clear claim and context for the argument b. organizing reasons and evidence to support claims, so as to build a logical argument c. providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, clarifying relationships between and among claims and reasons d. using appropriate vocabulary for argument e. providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s). (Be sure that the stimulus clearly lacks an effective conclusion.)

2. **(Elaboration)** The student will use information provided to develop or elaborate on a section of argumentative text by
- referencing and/or integrating relevant and credible evidence (from notes provided) to support claims
 - acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints
 - establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose

Appropriate Stems: Note: all stimuli/stems should indicate that students are revising a **draft** for a specified reason

(Organization)

A student is writing [argumentative essay, [editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text]

- The beginning* of the student's [editorial, essay, etc.] does not state a clear claim. Write an introduction* to the [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] that establishes and introduces a clear claim about _____.
- This [essay, editorial, etc.] is missing a conclusion.* Write a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument.

*Be sure the stimulus clearly needs an introduction/conclusion.

(Elaboration)

A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text] Stimulus will provide, in addition to the student's draft, some source of information such as student notes, a chart, a bulleted list, or similar fictitious, but factually accurate, source. For items written to this type of stimulus, students should either quote directly from the source (assuming they've already been paraphrased) or integrate information using their own words when referencing the sources. They do not need to cite sources.

- The student needs to add more support for the claim in the [essay, article, etc.]. Add relevant evidence [examples, facts, etc. depending on content of student notes] from the student notes to support the underlined [claim/reason(s)] [in/at the end of paragraph #, etc.] **Note:** Stem must indicate specifically where the information is to be inserted. This can be by underlining a section and indicating, for example, "[the underlined part] between paragraphs 1 and 2;" or "after [the underlined section] at the end of paragraph 3;" or by asking students to complete a paragraph that has been started for them.
- A student has written the introductory paragraph [and the first body paragraph (or two)] of an argumentative essay that establishes and introduces a clear claim about _____. Use the student notes to add evidence to support and further develop the underlined supporting claim/reason [or continues the paragraph following the underlined

	<p><u>sentence</u>; and/or addresses the counterclaim].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using the student’s notes, write [one or two] paragraph(s) to be added [designate spot by underlining or otherwise specifying] by stating and then arguing against the opposing point of view. <p>*Questions that ask for additional evidence/paragraph(s) should not require students to write the entire body of the letter (or article, etc.); rather the additional paragraph(s) should elaborate on existing information. For example, if an introductory paragraph is given, there should also be at least one other paragraph (or the beginning of a paragraph) for the student to add to, develop, or elaborate on. For elaboration items, students should never be required to “conclude” a piece of writing.</p> <p>Rubric/ Scoring Rules: 2, 1, 0 Points: the rubric needs to be item-specific for organization and elaboration brief writes. Note: MUST use rubric template document for appropriate rubric (organization: introductions, conclusions; elaboration)</p> <p>Organization—Introduction</p> <p>2 points The response</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> establishes an adequate claim that articulates the argument(s) presented in the body of writing as a whole provides adequate information to frame the argument to put the claim into context does more than list arguments to support claim—not formulaic provides a logical connection to the body paragraph <p>1 point The response</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provides a partial or limited claim provides a claim that partially reflects the argument(s) presented in the body of writing as a whole provides limited and/or extraneous information to frame the argument to put the claim into context may list arguments—formulaic provides a limited and/or awkward connection to the body paragraph <p>0 points The response</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provides no claim or provides a claim that is not appropriate for the body of writing as a whole provides irrelevant or no information to frame the argument to put the claim into context provides no connection to the body paragraph <p>Organization—Conclusion</p> <p>2 points The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provides an adequate conclusion that follows logically from and supports the claim presented in the body of writing as a whole or provides a call-to-action statement (or provides an answer as to why the claim is important or what should happen)
--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does more than restate or summarize the arguments—not formulaic • provides adequate connections and/or progression of ideas to contribute to coherence <p>1 point The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides a limited conclusion that is partially related to the claim presented in the body of writing as a whole • lists, restates, or summarizes the arguments—formulaic • provides an awkward or partial connection and/or limited progression of ideas <p>0 points The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides no conclusion or a conclusion that is minimally related to the claim and the body of writing as a whole • may restate random and/or incorrect arguments or just restate the claim • provides no connection or progression of ideas <p>Elaboration</p> <p>2 points The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • develops adequate supporting arguments and/or relevant evidence based on the student notes • does more than list supporting arguments • develops adequate counterargument(s) • adequately elaborates arguments using precise words/language <p>1 point The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides mostly general and/or limited supporting arguments/evidence, which may be extraneous or loosely related • lists supporting arguments with limited elaboration/evidence • partially develops counterargument(s) • partially elaborates arguments using general words/language <p>0 points The response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides minimal or no supporting arguments and/or evidence from the student notes • provides supporting arguments and/or evidence that may be unclear, repetitive, incorrect, contradictory to, or interfere with the meaning of the text • does not develop counterargument(s) • provides no appropriate elaboration and/or may use poor word choice for audience and purpose
--	---

Task Models	
<p>Task Model 2 Target 6b Revise Brief Texts Item Type: Multiple Choice, single correct response DOK 2</p>	<p>Note: Items for this target must have a setup that states audience, purpose (argument), and context/task.</p> <p>Stimulus: Text should be at grade level, as if written by a student. Text should be a model of good writing. Text will be brief—one to three paragraphs. Complexity may be low to high within a grade level. Text should reflect a variety of argumentative forms (essay, editorials, etc.). Note: This is an argumentative target, not persuasive. The stimuli should be decidedly argumentative, having two clear and debatable sides or positions. Text should not exceed 200 words.</p> <p>Task Description: The stem will direct the student to select a revision to the stimulus that improves some <u>underlined</u> or otherwise specified aspect of the text’s evidence/elaboration or organization. Answer choices will present four options. The correct answer will be a clearly discernible and correct solution that revises the stimulus to make the indicated improvement. (For revision items, stems should not ask for correct answers, but should ask for best answers in relation to audience, purpose, and task.)</p> <p>Target 6b Revise Brief Texts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Organization) The student will revise arguments by identifying improved organizational elements such as <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. providing an opening that establishes a clear claim and context for the argument b. organizing reasons and evidence to support the claim, building a logical argument* c. providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, clarifying relationships between and among claim, reasons, and evidence d. providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) 2. (Elaboration) The student will revise arguments by identifying the best use of elaboration techniques such as <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. referencing and/or integrating relevant and credible evidence to support claims b. acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints c. establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose d. deleting details that do not support the claim* <p>*Note: Items aligned to organization present reasons and evidence that are out of order, NOT details that do not belong. Elaboration items address details that do not belong.</p> <p>Appropriate Stems: Note: all stimuli/stems should indicate that students are revising a draft for a specified reason.</p> <p>(Organization) A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. The student wants to revise the draft to improve the organization. Read the draft of the</p>

	<p>_____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [Embed argument stimulus with a missing introduction] Choose the sentence(s) that would make the best introduction to establish and introduce the claim [set up the argument, etc.]. Note: Each answer choice can have a single “topic sentence” or a multi-sentence introduction. • [Embed argument stimulus with an underlined ineffective/inappropriate introduction] The [introductory paragraph or introduction] of the [letter, article, etc.] does not state a clear claim. Choose the sentence(s) that best replaces the underlined <u>sentence(s)</u>. • [Embed argument stimulus with a missing conclusion] Choose the sentence(s) that best concludes [the essay] the argumentative [essay, letter, etc.]. • [Embed argumentative stimulus with a stimulus that fails to acknowledge the counterclaim] Choose the sentence(s) that best acknowledge the opposing point of view [or counterclaim] and should be added to [designate underlined section or paragraph #]. • [Embed argument stimulus with an underlined inappropriate/ineffective concluding sentence] Revise the draft of the student’s essay about _____. Choose the sentence that would be the best conclusion for the argument [or should be added to (underlined sentence, final paragraph, etc.)] to conclude the argument [indicate where additional sentence would be added to existing conclusion]. • Choose the transition sentence that would move readers smoothly from [underlined] claim to [underlined] counterclaim. <p>(Elaboration) A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. The student wants to revise the draft to improve the development of ideas. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise the student’s draft of an [essay, editorial, etc.] about _____ by choosing the sentence that gives the best evidence to support [the underlined <u>claim</u> or supporting <u>reason</u>]. Note: Stem must indicate specifically where the information is to be inserted. This can be by <u>underlining</u> a section and indicating, for example, “[the underlined part] between paragraphs 1 and 2;” or “after [the underlined part] at the end of paragraph 3,” etc. • Read the underlined <u>text</u>. Choose the sentence(s)/paragraph that should be added [or should replace] [indicate where] to strengthen the author’s argument. • Select the sentence [paragraph] that would best acknowledge the opposing viewpoint without weakening the writer’s argument. <p>Scoring Rules: Correct = 1 point, other = 0 points.</p>
--	--

Task Models	
<p>Task Model 3 Target 6b Revise Brief Texts Item Type: Multiple Choice, multiple correct response DOK 2</p>	<p>Note: Items for this target must have a setup that states audience, purpose (argument), and context/task.</p> <p>Stimulus: Text should be at grade level, as if written by a student. Text should be a model of good writing. Text will be brief—one to three paragraphs. Complexity may be low to high within a grade level. Text should reflect a variety of argumentative forms (essay, editorials, etc.). Note: This is an argumentative target, not persuasive. The stimuli should be decidedly argumentative, having two clear and debatable sides or positions. Text should not exceed 200 words.</p> <p>Task Description: The stem will pose a question about two ways to revise the text to improve some specified <u>underlined</u> aspect of the text’s development or organization. Answer choices for multiple correct response items should present 5 to 6 options (so that fewer than half the choices are correct). Answer choices will present options of similar structure. The correct answers will be clearly discernible and the best two solutions to revise the stimulus to make the indicated improvements. (For revision, stems should not ask for correct answers, but should ask for best answers in relation to audience, purpose, and task.)</p> <p>Target Evidence Statements: (Note: There are no Organization items for this task model.)</p> <p>(Elaboration) The student will revise arguments by identifying the best use of elaboration techniques such as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. referencing and/or integrating relevant and credible evidence (from notes provided) to support claims b. acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints c. establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose d. deleting details that do not support the claim* <p>*Note: Items aligned to organization present reasons and evidence that are out of order, NOT details that do not belong. Elaboration items address details that do not belong.</p> <p>Appropriate Stems: Note: all stimuli/stems should indicate that students are revising a draft for a specified reason.</p> <p>(Elaboration) A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. The student wants to revise the draft to improve the development of the ideas. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise the student’s paragraphs about _____ by choosing two sentences that would give the best evidence [facts, examples, etc. depending on content] to support the student’s [underlined] <u>claim</u> [or

	<p>reasons]. Note 1: Stem must indicate specifically where the information is to be inserted. This can be by underlining a <u>section</u> and indicating, for example, “[the underlined <u>part</u>] between paragraphs 1 and 2,” or “after [the underlined <u>part</u>] at the end of paragraph 3,” etc. Note 2: Students choose two answer choices to support one underlined <u>claim, reason, etc.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The student wants to replace the two <u>underlined</u> sentences [embed sentences that are inappropriate/irrelevant or weak in supporting the claim] with sentences that would be more appropriate for the author’s argumentative purpose [or for the stated audience]. Choose the two most appropriate sentences that could replace the two underlined <u>[sentences/reasons, etc.]</u>. Note: Students choose two answer choices to support two underlined <u>claims, reasons, sentences, etc.</u> • Select the two best sentences that would be added to [underlined <u>section/sentence</u>] to acknowledge the [underlined] opposing viewpoint without weakening the writer’s argument. Note: Students choose two answer choices. <p>Note for all of the stems in this task model: At this grade level, items can ask students to choose two answer choices to support one <u>underlined</u> idea/topic, etc. OR students may be asked to choose sentences/details, etc. to develop/support two different underlined ideas/subtopics, etc. Either way, items should make it clear to students that they must choose two correct answers.</p> <p>Scoring Rules: All correct = 1 point; other = 0 points.</p>
--	--

Task Models	
<p>Task Model 4 Target 6b Revise Brief Texts Item Type: Hot Text, select text DOK 2</p>	<p>Note: Items for this target must have a setup that states audience, purpose (argument), and context/task.</p> <p>Stimulus: Text should be at grade level, as if written by a student. Text should be a model of good writing. Text will be brief—one to three paragraphs. Complexity may be low-to-high within a grade level. Text should reflect a variety of argumentative forms (essay, editorials, etc.). Note: This is an argumentative target, not persuasive. The stimuli should be decidedly argumentative, having two clear and debatable sides or positions. Text should not exceed 200 words.</p> <p>Task Description: The stem will direct the student to select a revision to the stimulus that improves some specified aspect of the text’s development or organization. The correct answer(s) will be clearly discernible and offer the best solution(s) that revise the stimulus to make the indicated improvements. (For revision, stems should not ask for correct answers, but should ask for best answers in relation to audience, purpose, and task.) There should be four to six possible correct answers, and each possible answer should be <u>underlined</u>. If there is more than one defensible options (check every possibility), do not use this item type; use task model 2).</p> <p>Target Evidence Statements: Target 6b (Organization) The student will revise arguments by identifying improved organizational elements such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • providing an opening that establishes a clear claim and context for the argument • organizing reasons and evidence to support the claim, building a logical argument* • providing appropriate transitional strategies for coherence, clarifying relationships between and among claim, reasons, and evidence • providing a conclusion that is appropriate to purpose and audience and follows from and supports the argument(s) <p>(Elaboration) The student will revise arguments by identifying the best use of elaboration techniques such as</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • referencing and/or integrating relevant and credible evidence (from notes provided) to support claims • acknowledging alternate or opposing viewpoints • establishing and maintaining a formal style and tone (including appropriate sentence variety and complexity) for audience/purpose • deleting details that do not support the claim* <p>*Note: Items aligned to organization present reasons and evidence that are out of order, NOT details that do not belong. Elaboration items address details that do not belong.</p> <p>Appropriate Stems: Note: All stimuli/stems should indicate that students are revising a draft for a specified areas</p>

(Organization)

A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. The student wants to revise the draft to improve the organization. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text]

- [Insert paragraph of 5-6 sentences, with the best beginning sentence embedded within the body of the paragraph] The first sentence is not the best beginning for the argumentative [letter, article, etc.]. Click on one sentence in the [paragraph, etc.] that would be the **best** introduction for this [opinion letter, article, etc.].
- [Insert paragraph of 5 to 6 sentences, with the best conclusion embedded somewhere within the body of the paragraph] The last sentence is not the best introduction for the [essay, article, etc.]. Click on one sentence in the [paragraph, etc.] that would be the best conclusion for this argument.
- [Insert **one or two** underlined transition word pairs embedded in text such as next/moreover; in fact/also; finally/therefore – correct word must be clearly better than wrong answer and show logical relationships between supports and/or reasons/evidence, etc.]. Revise the draft of the argument by clicking on one [or two word(s)/phrase(s)] from each underlined pair that would improve the [connections] between the underlined [sentences, paragraphs, or sections]. **Note:** Limit to two pairs of words at this grade. Also note that the underlined pairs can be single words (e.g., "finally") or short phrases (e.g., "at last"). **Note:** only transition words/phrases, words that signal relationships, can be assessed outside of target 8.

(Elaboration)

A student is writing [argumentative essay, editorial, etc.] for the [teacher, class, principal, student newspaper, etc.] about _____. The student wants to revise the draft to improve the development of the ideas. Read the draft of the _____ and complete the task that follows. [Insert text] **Note:** Stem must indicate specifically where the information is to be inserted. This can be by underlining a section and indicating, for example, "[the underlined section] between paragraph 1 and 2," or "after [the underlined part] at the end of paragraph 3," etc.

- [Embed stimulus with 4 to 5 possible underlined "answers," which can be sentences or parts of a sentence] Click on the [**one or two**] sentence(s) [or reason(s)] that need(s) more evidence [facts, examples, etc.] [in paragraph # or other designated place] to support the underlined claim [or counterclaim or reason]. **Note:** Correct answer must clearly lack evidence/support, etc.
- [Embed paragraph with one (or two) sentence(s) that is/are irrelevant or do/does not support the claim] Click on the [**one or two**] sentences that should be deleted because it/they do(es) **not** support the underlined claim [reason] in the paragraph [essay, report, etc.].
- Click on [**one or two**] sentences from [paragraph #] that support the opposing viewpoint and should be deleted from that paragraph.

Scoring Rules: All correct = 1 point, other = 0 points.